Erratum

Erratum/Correction of Cameriere R, et al. The precision and reliability of the pulp/tooth area ratio (RA) of the second molar as indicator of adult age." J Forensic Sci 2004;49(6):1319–1323

Table 3 should be

	Age			
	<18	≥18	Total	
$RA > RA^*$ $RA \le RA^*$	86 5	20 201	106 206	
Total	91	221	312	

se = 0.909502 Sensitivity.

sp = 0.945055 Specificity.

VVP = 0.975728 Positive predictive value.

VVN = 0,811321 Negative predictive value.

E = 0.919872 Total correct classification.

Rather than

		Age	
	<18	≥18	Total
$RA > RA^*$	86	20	106
$RA \leq RA^*$	5	201	206
Total	91	221	312

se = 0.850679 Sensitivity.

sp = 0.945055 Specificity.

VVP = 0.974093 Positive predictive value.

VVN = 0.722689 Negative predictive value.

E = 0.878205 Total correct classification.

Another error is in reference to the sentence on page 3: "The proportion of individuals with a correct classification was 92% (Table 4)." It should be: "The proportion of individuals with a correct classification was 92% (Table 3)."

The Journal regrets this error. Note: Any and all future citations of the above-referenced paper should read: The precision and reliability of the pulp/tooth area ratio (RA) of the second molar as indicator of adult age. [Published erratum appears in J Forensic Sci 2005 Mar;50(2)] J Forensic Sci 2004;49(6):1319–1323.